

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO     | . F  | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |              |
|--------------------|------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 10/698,704         |      | 10/31/2003 | Robert O. Conn        | X-1416-3 US                          | 1939         |
| 24309              | 7590 | 03/17/2005 |                       | EXAMINER                             |              |
| XILINX,            |      |            | WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O |                                      |              |
| 2100 LOG           |      | ARTMENT    |                       | ART UNIT                             | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN JOSE, CA 95124 |      |            |                       | 2826                                 |              |

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | (0)      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/698,704  | CONN, ROBERT O.  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Alexander O. Williams   | 2826   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet wi   | th the correspondence address  | ;        |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron.  The areply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB                   | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ·   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the other continuous the continuous three continuous transfer is objected to by the continuous transfer in the continuous t | accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing  | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s  | summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>  |          |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Any one species in figures 1 to 28D.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic to all figures.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander O Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826

AOW 3/15/05